

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/030,066	Applicant(s) BOHNEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Daniel S. Metzmaier	Art Unit 1712	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) C. James Bushman.

Date of Interview: 2 September 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: ~ 11:15 AM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

none.

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

discussed the basis for claim 38 as an original claim 9 in the PCT application. Discussed the basis in the specification for non-aqueous organic solvent. Discussed aquoxide as the same as a hydrate.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)